



October 29, 1996

Mr. William F Caton  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N. W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED  
NOV 4 1996  
FCC MAIL ROOM

Re: In the Matter of Petition for Clarification of The Washington  
Post Company.

Dear Mr. Caton:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Pursuant to Sections 1.429 of the Commission's Rules, attached  
please find an original and 11 copies of the comments by Telco Planning on  
the Petition for Clarification of the Washington Post Company of the  
Second Report and Order, in the above captioned proceeding. Please date  
stamp the additional copy and return it in our self addressed stamped  
envelope.


808 The Pittcock Block

921 S.W. Washington

Portland, OR 97205

If you have any questions regarding this filing, please do not  
hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

  
D. Kelly Daniels, President  
Telco Planning, Inc.

503.224.1989

FAX 503.224.2192

No. of Copies rec'd 11  
List ABCDE

**Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington D.C. 20554**

**RECEIVED  
NOV: 4 1996  
FCC MAIL ROOM**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Implementation of Local Competition	)	CC Docket No. 96-98
Provisions in the Telecommunications Act.	)	
of 1996	)	
	)	
Interconnection Between Local Exchange	)	CC Docket No. 95-185
Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio	)	
Service Providers	)	
	)	
Area Code Relief Plan for Dallas and Houston,	)	NSD File No. 96-8
Ordered by the Public Utility Commission	)	
of Texas	)	
	)	
Administration of the North American	)	CC Docket No. 92-237
Numbering Plan	)	
	)	
Proposed 708 Relief Plan and 630 Numbering	)	IAD File No. 94-102
Plan Area Code by Ameritech-Illinois	)	

**Comments on the Petition filed by The Washington Post.**

Telco Planning is the issue originator (on Behalf of several Directory Assistance Companies), of several Network Service Provider Inter-connection Forums with regard to NPA-555-XXXX Number Assignments.

Telco Planing and it's clients prefer that the commission reject some of the arguments made by the Washington Post for a seven digit dialing plan for NPA 555-XXXX because of the following reasons. The history of dialing plans has been at the discretion of states until recent review by the FCC in docket 92-237 which the dialing plan portion is still open. Therefor the FCC may intercede but should not try to review or retroactively change local dialing plans. The result of state direction of local dialing plans is that many states incorporate NPA 555-1212 into dialing

arrangements friendly to the local dialing plan. To give other 555 assignees, especially those who are competing with NPA -555-1212 directory assistance would expect comparable dialing arrangements.

Telco Planning and its client believe and recommend that their own carrier provided DA will be NPA-555-1212 but when Directory Assistance (DA) combined with Call Completion (DACC) offering will be on their new 555 assignments. In Massachusetts and Illinois, incumbent LECs received several complaints about bundling 555-1212 traditional DA with call completion using the same DA number because end-users were confused. In those complaints before the local state commissions, end-users complained that their lines received increased DA costs because employees used call completion services bundled with traditional DA either abusively or without direct knowledge of the business or residential billing responsible party. Telco Planning recommends to limit the confusion, that its carriers use 555-1212 on their networks for traditional DA and combine call completion on another line number offering. There are other DA offerings which include call completion which are proposed offerings of DA companies who subcontract their services to carriers. These DA products should have comparable dialing arrangements uniform with existing DA and dialing plans that end-users are accustomed to. If the FCC decides to change local dial plans to make the dial plans more uniform, the FCC must also consider dialing 555-1212 in a comparable manner to 555-XXXX.

Telco Planning also rejects portions of the Washington Post filing with regards to general information services. The Washington Post states in its filing that the industry set-up these 555 industry documents, for information services not able adequately use 900/976 number ranges. Telco Planning maintains that this was The Washington Post goal, not the industry. In fact, at the closing of each document in the industry forum in which 5 committees of the Alliance for Telephone Industries Solutions (ATIS) met many participants objected to general information services offered in the DA number range. Several carriers, non-carriers (via trade groups, Information Service Providers and subscribers) oppose the use of 555 line numbers by non-

directory assistance companies<sup>1</sup>. The ATIS forums have been the reference point for many telephone industry consensus agreements which avoid the FCC and Congressional mandates that some industries seem to require. The FCC and FTC proceedings for the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute and Resolution Act verified the intent to put information services in easily recognizable number ranges for consumers.

Finally, BELLCORE for 20 years via the "BOC Notes on the LEC Intra-LATA Network" (1983, 1986, 1990, 1994) at section 3.3.2, instructed NANPA regional number administrators to reserve the 555 number range for directory uses (see attachment a).

The Washington Post also asserts at page 4, 2nd sentence in their argument that subscribers are the only 555 line number holders. All of Telco Planning's clients are carriers who are offering DA via their 555 number assignments. It is also true to say that numbers are assigned to carriers, because more 100 numbers are assigned to directories of carriers (attachment b). Telco Planning's clients in particular are DA companies who became carriers specifically to resell the call completion portion of the DA function. Investigation of the assignments finds that only a few information service providers actually control the number assignments. Telco Planning, itself allowed some companies to control more numbers than they could use. Since then and as I have told NANPA, literally hundreds of numbers could be reclaimed from entities who are no longer in business.

Regarding the continued argument of The Washington Post at page 5, 1st sentence of B; While many Information Service Providers have sought abbreviated dialing, seven digits has been rejected by almost 100 percent of the Information Service Providers as an abbreviated number. The Information Services Providers (the record of the ATIS forum IILC shows) prefer to use three or four digits. Also, in all regions of the country, there are NXX set aside for what the Washington Post wants to do (attachment c LERG list).

---

<sup>1</sup> Telco Planning, AT&T, MCI, SPRINT and GTE objected to **only** the opening of the 555 number range to non-DA companies at the closure of the industry guidelines forwarded to NANPA and NANPA now administers.; GTE and Telco Planning continued their objection at the closing of the ICCF architecture document; Telco Planning also continued their position at the OBF and IILC 555 architecture and provisioning documents.

At page 6, 1st paragraph, The Washington Post it appears wants to build a record contrary to the FTC and FCC rules of the TDDRA. Telco Planning cites the findings of many states and the FCC and FTC in that the 900 number range for information services calls should be used. Further network service providers are stuck with vacant numbers through out all information service provider Service Access Code (SAC), NPA, and NXXs. Telco Planning estimates that 90% of the line numbers inside of the exchanges listed in attachment c are vacant. We maintain that Information Service Providers should work with network service providers to use the 70+ NXX codes set aside for intra-state Information Service Providers or the 900 SAC for inter-state information services.


To avoid using the already set aside SACs, NPAs and NXXs for specialty information services especially in the directory assistance range of 555 NXX would cause end-user confusion, further technology complaints requiring blocking for billing and collection and content. The 555 number range cannot effectively be blocked when so many 555 number assignments are used for DA.

Telco Planning further refutes The Washington Post filing at page 6, 2nd paragraph: Telco Planning maintains 555-1212 is synonymous with directory assistance. So are several other 555-XXXX numbers used by carriers now (grand-fathered numbers are attached). 555 is as synonymous with DA as 900/976 is with information services. Pricing is not a valid issue for the Washington Post. There is no reason to believe the pricing sought by The Washington Post will be found in the 555 number range. In fact, the two filings in place by SBC and US WEST actually cost more than 900 or the 976 like NXXs.

900/976 can be blocked by a subscriber so as not to allow call's to objectionable Information Providers (IP). By allowing 555 to be used by IPs there is the risk of forcing carriers to provide blocking for 555. Thus carriers have to wrestle with either denying Directory Assistance to the end-users who require blocking to information services, or developing a list of 555 directory services not to be blocked because they are competitive to 555-1212 or finally, moving directory assistance to another line number (like 411), thus vacating 555-1212.

In closing, The Washington Post has been wronged by the industry in it's N11 range and failed by the FCC in it's timeliness. Despite the ability of The Washington Post to use a pay-per-call NXX or a carrier N11 code it is incorrect in it's belief the 555 number range is the answer for information services providers. The FCC need not consider the seven digit dialing petition of The Washington Post.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Kelly Daniels', written over the typed name.

D. Kelly Daniels, President  
Telco Planning, Inc.  
808 The Pittock Block  
921 SW Washington  
Portland, Oregon 97205  
503-224-1989

October 29, 1996

### 3.3 Central Office Codes

A description of central office codes is provided in the following subsections.

#### 3.3.1 Central Office Code Format and Capacity

Since the inception of the NANP, central office codes have been in the following format.

**NNX**

where N is any digit 2 through 9, and

X is any digit 0 through 9

This provides a capacity of 640 central office codes for each NPA. The addition of *interchangeable central office codes* in the N 0/1 X format increases the number of central office codes by expanding the format to:

**NXX**

where N is an digit 2 through 9, and

X is any digit 0 through 9

This increases the potential number of central office code combinations to 800, although the actual use of some of these codes is not recommended as described later in this section. Typically, interchangeable central office codes are introduced to provide numbering relief and thus delay an impending NPA split. As of early 1990, 21 NPAs have implemented interchangeable central office codes.

#### 3.3.2 Central Office Code Assignments

In general, the assignment of central office codes within a geographic NPA is administered by the predominant LEC in cooperation with other LECs that also serve the NPA. Each code assignment should be made only after consideration has been given to the type of service and the economic necessity for the use of a code. The assignments should be made, to the extent feasible, in accordance with the following guidelines.

- Codes for central office assignment should include all NNX type codes excluding the Home Numbering Plan Area (HNPA) code, adjacent NPA codes, and the following five codes that currently are reserved for special use:

- 555 — Directory Assistance
- 950 — Feature Group B Access
- 958 — Local Plant Test
- 959 — Local Plant Test
- 976 — Information Delivery Service.

- Within the 555 code, line numbers other than 1212, which are generally used for directory assistance, could be made available to other entities who want to provide services complementary to directory assistance (such as area business listings, zip codes, area code service, address provision, etc.). The 555 code is not the exclusive province of any exchange carrier or directory assistance provider. Line numbers other than those currently in use should be made available to others (tariff permitting) where technically feasible. Further information pertaining to the assignment of local numbers in the 555 code can be found in *OSSGR Operator Service Systems Generic Requirements, Section 5, TR-TSY-000271*.<sup>6</sup>

A description of Feature Group B access code 950 can be found in Section 3.7.

Codes 958 and 959 are universally assigned as local plant test codes and are not to be assigned for any other purpose. The 958 and 959 codes should not be listed in the *LERG*.

Access code 976 is assigned to LECs for Information Delivery Service. If more than one LEC wishes to use the 976 access code within the same NPA, then the LECs involved must resolve routing and billing issues through mutual agreement.

### 3.3.3 Interchangeable Central Office Code Assignment

When it becomes necessary to implement interchangeable central office codes within an NPA, the following order of preference is recommended.

#### Step

1. Assign, as central office codes, those codes already in use as NPA codes, excluding N00 and N11 codes. A reasonable code assignment order can be established by determining the traffic volume from the originating NPA to all other NPAs, and assigning the codes in order of increasing traffic volume. Thus, the code for the least-called NPA would be the first code assigned as an interchangeable central office code. Assigning low traffic volume NPA codes first is an attempt to gradually familiarize callers with interchangeable central office codes.
2. Assign codes not presently used as NPA codes and not included in Steps 3 or 4.

#### Caution

If all unused NPA codes are assigned as interchangeable central office codes and the subject NPA is split prior to the implementation of interchangeable NPA codes, a confusing and costly situation arises. A working central office code in the existing NPA becomes the same number as the NPA code assigned to the adjacent new NPA or, the new NPA could even contain the same central office code. (When an NPA implements interchangeable central office codes, the code administrator should discuss the matter with the Bellcore NANP Administration Organization to explore all possibilities and their potential consequences.)



LIST OF 555 LINE NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS  
(AS OF OCTOBER 3, 1995)

LINE NO. TYPE ASSIGNED TO

1234	R	AMERITECH
5252	R	AMERITECH ADVERTISING SERV
5151	R	AMERITECH INFO. INDUSTRY
9980	R	AMERITECH PAYPHONE SERV
1313	R	BC TEL
7575	R	BC TEL
5454	R	BELL ATLANTIC
5665	R	BELL ATLANTIC
9985	R	BELL ATLANTIC
1515	R	BELL ATLANTIC-NJ (GRNDFTH)
1313	R	BELL CANADA
7575	R	BELL CANADA
8433	N	BELL CANADA
4264	N	BELLSOUTH WIRELESS, INC.
4776	N	BELLSOUTH WIRELESS, INC.
2484	N	CITIZENS UTILITIES
5050	N	CITIZENS UTILITIES
3541	N	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
3542	N	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
3543	N	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
3544	N	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
3545	N	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
4433	R	GTE
3434	R	GTE TELEPHONE OPERATIONS
0525	R	LEXINGTON TELEPHONE CO.
0526	R	LEXINGTON TELEPHONE CO.
0527	R	LEXINGTON TELEPHONE CO.
0528	R	LEXINGTON TELEPHONE CO.
0529	R	LEXINGTON TELEPHONE CO.
7575	R	NB TEL
1313	R	NB TEL-GRANDFATHERED
3284	R	NEVADA BELL
4867	R	NEVADA BELL
8256	R	NEVADA BELL
8622	R	NEVADA BELL
9622	R	NEVADA BELL
4273	N	NORTHWEST NEVADA TELCO
5472	N	NORTHWEST NEVADA TELCO
5557	N	NORTHWEST NEVADA TELCO
5625	N	NORTHWEST NEVADA TELCO
1000	R	NYNEX/NEW ENGLAND
1515	R	NYNEX/NEW ENGLAND
1611	R	NYNEX/NEW ENGLAND
1717	R	NYNEX/NEW ENGLAND

LIST OF 555 LINE NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS  
(AS OF OCTOBER 3, 1995)

LINE NO.

1811	R	NYNEX/NEW ENGLAND
4652	R	NYNEX-NEW YORK
6263	R	NYNEX-NY
6532	R	NYNEX-NY
9727	R	NYNEX-NY
3476	R	PACIFIC BELL-NATL. ACCOUNTS
4368	R	PACIFIC BELL-NATL. ACCOUNTS
4386	R	PACIFIC BELL-NATL. ACCOUNTS
9248	R	PACIFIC BELL-NATL. ACCOUNTS
4667	R	PACIFIC BELL-OPERATOR SERV
5252	R	PACTEL ELECTRONIC PUBL
6255	R	PACTEL ELECTRONIC PUBL
0001	N	PAYLINE SYSTEMS INC
1001	N	PAYLINE SYSTEMS INC
4652	R	SOUTHWESTERN BELL - TEXAS
1234	R	SOUTHWESTERN BELL-ST. LOUIS
6458	N	SOUTHWESTERN BELL-ST. LOUIS
7928	R	SOUTHWESTERN BELL-ST. LOUIS
2487	N	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS
3272	N	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS
2778	N	SPRINT LOCAL TELECOM
1234	R	US WEST
7378	R	US WEST
4652	R	US WEST COMMUNICATIONS
2262	N	US WEST MARKETING RESOURCES
3748	N	US WEST MARKETING RESOURCES
8999	N	US WEST MARKETING RESOURCES
9359	N	US WEST MARKETING RESOURCES
3868	R	US WEST NEW VECTOR GROUP
8792	R	US WEST NEW VECTOR GROUP

LIST OF 555 LINE NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS  
(AS OF OCTOBER 3, 1995)

LINE NO. TYPE ASSIGNED TO

2282	N	555 INFO NET
4226	N	555 INFO NET
4647	N	555 INFO NET
6374	N	555 INFO NET
511	N	555 ON-LINE
2776	N	555 ON-LINE
8791	N	555 ON-LINE
411	N	555-FAX-IT
3291	N	555-FAX-IT
3292	N	555-FAX-IT
3294	N	555-FAX-IT
3366	N	555-FAX-IT
1288	N	AT&T
1515	R	AT&T
1919	N	AT&T
4123	N	AT&T
2867	N	BT HOLDINGS, INC.
2883	N	BT HOLDINGS, INC.
3337	N	BT HOLDINGS, INC.
4752	N	BT HOLDINGS, INC.
4865	N	BT HOLDINGS, INC.
1661	N	BT SERVICES, INC.
2661	N	BT SERVICES, INC.
3786	N	BT SERVICES, INC.
5847	N	BT SERVICES, INC.
6239	N	BT SERVICES, INC.
2582	N	BT TELECOM INC
5842	N	BT TELECOM INC.
2264	N	BT TELESIS INC
7466	N	BT TELESIS INC
9277	N	BT TELESIS INC
5347	N	BT TELESIS INC.
1478	N	BUDGET CALL LONG DISTANCE
3698	N	BUDGET CALL LONG DISTANCE
9550	N	BUDGET CALL LONG DISTANCE
5294	N	CABLE & WIRELESS
2944	N	CABLE & WIRELESS INC.
4294	N	CABLE & WIRELESS INC.
4321	N	CABLE & WIRELESS INC.
6368	N	CABLE & WIRELESS INC.
8683	N	CAPITAL NETWORK SYSTEM
8688	N	CAPITAL NETWORK SYSTEM
1463	N	EXCELL AGENT SERVICES
2345	N	EXCELL AGENT SERVICES

LIST OF 555 LINE NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS  
(AS OF OCTOBER 3, 1995)

LINE NO.

3838	N	EXCELL AGENT SERVICES
4968	N	EXCELL AGENT SERVICES
8259	N	EXCELL AGENT SERVICES
2097	N	FIBERLINK INC.
2384	N	FIBERLINK INC.
3410	N	FIBERLINK INC.
5326	N	FIBERLINK INC.
5839	N	FIBERLINK INC.
2754	R	FONES WEST
8245	R	FONES WEST
6600	N	LEXICOM INC.
7700	N	LEXICOM INC.
8800	N	LEXICOM INC.
9900	N	LEXICOM INC.
4555	N	METRO ONE DIRECT
5411	N	METRO ONE DIRECT
2580	N	MID ATLANTIC TELECOM
6060	N	MID ATLANTIC TELECOM
7890	N	MID ATLANTIC TELECOM
0	N	MID ATLANTIC TELECOM
4373	N	NETWORK BILLING SYSTEMS LLC
4762	N	NETWORK BILLING SYSTEMS LLC
4767	N	NETWORK BILLING SYSTEMS LLC
7926	N	NETWORK BILLING SYSTEMS LLC
8873	N	NETWORK BILLING SYSTEMS LLC
2868	N	NETWORK TELEPHONE SVS., INC.
7391	N	NETWORK TELEPHONE SVS., INC.
7825	N	NETWORK TELEPHONE SVS., INC.
8462	N	NETWORK TELEPHONE SVS., INC.
9453	N	NETWORK TELEPHONE SVS., INC.
3225	N	NETWORK USA
6872	N	NETWORK USA
1313	R	NEWFOUNDLAND TEL
7575	R	NEWFOUNDLAND TEL
1999	N	ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2001	N	ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
4600	N	ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
6700	N	ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
8300	N	ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
777	N	PAGEMART, INC.
888	N	PAGEMART, INC.
999	N	PAGEMART, INC.
6625	N	PAGEMART, INC.
7125	N	PAGEMART, INC.

LIST OF 555 LINE NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS  
(AS OF OCTOBER 3, 1995)

LINE NO.

1333	N	PTI COMMUNICATIONS
8975	N	PTI COMMUNICATIONS
5232	R	QUALICOM
8700	R	QUALICOM
211	N	RCI LONG DIST NEW ENGLAND
1122	N	RCI LONG DIST NEW ENGLAND
3425	N	RCI LONG DIST NEW ENGLAND
4568	N	RCI LONG DIST NEW ENGLAND
1379	N	RCI LONG DISTANCE
2468	N	RCI LONG DISTANCE
8080	N	RCI LONG DISTANCE
8900	N	RCI LONG DISTANCE
9876	N	RCI LONG DISTANCE
3339	N	SAN MARCOS LONG DISTANCE
3355	N	SAN MARCOS LONG DISTANCE
7275	N	SASKATCHEWAN TELECOMM
1313	R	SASKTEL
7575	R	SASKTEL
2300	N	SCHERERS COMMUNICATIONS
4545	N	SCHERERS COMMUNICATIONS
5757	N	SCHERERS COMMUNICATIONS
6868	N	SCHERERS COMMUNICATIONS
7800	N	SCHERERS COMMUNICATIONS
5398	N	TELECOM TECHNOLOGIES
9467	N	TELECOM TECHNOLOGIES
10	N	US NETWORK SERVICES
12	N	US NETWORK SERVICES
13	N	US NETWORK SERVICES
14	N	US NETWORK SERVICES
4747	N	US NETWORK SERVICES

The list below provides each of the NXXs in the NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN (NANP), the codes are assigned for use in the same manner as the NXX 976. The 976 NXX is used as a pay-per-call or otherwise content blocking NXX for end-users who request that the code be blocked for dialing. In addition, local exchange carriers may block end-users from dialing the codes if a history of non-payment for information services is evident. Inter-exchange carriers also block these codes if a settlement arrangement is not in place for transport services. Finally, many advertising companies refuse to allow advertisements with the use of these numbers. The list of codes below are for NPA specific Information Services while the NXX 976 is the NANP Universal Central Office Code for Information Delivery Service.

This list includes the numbers that are regularly published by the BELLCORE in their product named Local Exchange Routing Guide, which is used by many carriers for routing, translations and interpretation of NPA and NXX combinations.

Where the assigned NPA is listed, the NXX is also listed. This list is only for the NPA list in effect since January 1996.

NONE : NO 976-LIKE CODES ARE ASSIGNED IN THIS NPA

NA : 976-LIKE CODE ASSIGNMENTS ARE NOT APPLICABLE TO THIS NPA.

BLANK : INFORMATION UNAVAILABLE AT TIME OF PUBLICATION.

976 - LIKE CODES  
LISTED BY NPA

NPA	LOCATION	976-LIKE CODE			
200	RESERVED	NA	405	OKLAHOMA	
201	NEW JERSEY	NONE	406	MONTANA	NONE
202	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	915	407	FLORIDA	NONE
203	CONNECTICUT		408	CALIFORNIA	NONE
204	MANITOBA	924, 940	409	TEXAS	
205	ALABAMA	NONE	410	MARYLAND	915
206	WASHINGTON	960	411	RESERVED	NA
207	MAINE	940	412	PENNSYLVANIA	556
208	IDAHO	960	413	MASSACHUSETTS	550, 940,
209	CALIFORNIA	NONE	414	WISCONSIN	NONE
210	TEXAS		415	CALIFORNIA	NONE
211	RESERVED	NA	416	ONTARIO	
212	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970	417	MISSOURI	
213	CALIFORNIA	NONE	418	QUEBEC	
214	TEXAS	703	419	OHIO	NONE
215	PENNSYLVANIA	556	500	RESERVED	NA
216	OHIO	931	501	ARKANSAS	
217	ILLINOIS	NONE	502	KENTUCKY	NONE
218	MINNESOTA	NONE	503	OREGON	
219	INDIANA	NONE	504	LOUISIANA	636
281	TEXAS		505	NEW MEXICO	960
300	RESERVED	NA	506	NEW BRUNSWICK	
301	MARYLAND	915	507	MINNESOTA	960
302	DELAWARE		508	MASSACHUSETTS	940
303	COLORADO	960	509	WASHINGTON	
304	WEST VIRGINIA		510	CALIFORNIA	NONE
305	FLORIDA	NONE	511	RESERVED	NA
306	SASKATCHEWAN		512	TEXAS	766
307	WYOMING	960	513	OHIO	499
308	NEBRASKA	960	514	QUEBEC	
309	ILLINOIS	NONE	515	IOWA	
310	CALIFORNIA	NONE	516	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
311	RESERVED	NA	517	MICHIGAN	NONE
312	ILLINOIS	NONE	518	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
313	MICHIGAN	NONE	519	ONTARIO	
314	MISSOURI		520	ARIZONA	
315	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970	540	VIRGINIA	NONE
316	KANSAS		600	CANADA (TWX)	NA
317	INDIANA	NONE	601	MISSISSIPPI	
318	LOUISIANA	NONE	602	ARIZONA	676, 960
319	IOWA		603	NEW HAMPSHIRE	940
334	ALABAMA	NONE	604	BRITISH COLUMBIA	
360	WASHINGTON		605	SOUTH DAKOTA	960
400	RESERVED	NA	606	KENTUCKY	
401	RHODE ISLAND	940	607	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
402	NEBRASKA	960	608	WISCONSIN	NONE
403	ALBERTA	NONE	609	NEW JERSEY	NONE
404	GEORGIA	NONE	610	PENNSYLVANIA	

611	RESERVED	NA
612	MINNESOTA	
613	ONTARIO	
614	OHIO	NONE
615	TENNESSEE	
616	MICHIGAN	NONE
617	MASSACHUSETTS	550, 940,
618	ILLINOIS	NA
619	CALIFORNIA	NONE
630	ILLINOIS	NONE
700	SERVICE ACCESS CODE	NA
701	NORTH DAKOTA	NONE
702	NEVADA	
703	VIRGINIA	NONE
704	NORTH CAROLINA	
705	ONTARIO	
706	GEORGIA	
707	CALIFORNIA	NONE
708	ILLINOIS	NA
709	NEWFOUNDLAND	
710	U.S. GOVT.	
711	RESERVED	NA
712	IOWA	
713	TEXAS	766
714	CALIFORNIA	NONE
715	WISCONSIN	NONE
716	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
717	PENNSYLVANIA	NONE
718	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
719	COLORADO	898
800	TOLL FREE SERVICE	NA
801	UTAH	960
802	VERMONT	
803	SOUTH CAROLINA	
804	VIRGINIA	NONE
805	CALIFORNIA	NONE
806	TEXAS	

807	ONTARIO	
808	HAWAII	
809	CARIBBEAN ISLANDS	
810	MICHIGAN	NONE
811	RESERVED	NA
812	INDIANA	NONE
813	FLORIDA	
814	PENNSYLVANIA	NONE
815	ILLINOIS	NONE
816	MISSOURI	
817	TEXAS	892
818	CALIFORNIA	NONE
819	QUEBEC	
900	SERVICE ACCESS CODE	NA
901	TENNESSEE	
902	NOV SCOT/PRNC ED ISL	
903	TEXAS	
904	FLORIDA	
905	ONTARIO	
906	MICHIGAN	NONE
907	ALASKA	
908	NEW JERSEY	NONE
909	CALIFORNIA	NONE
910	NORTH CAROLINA	
911	UNIVERSAL EMERGENCY	NA
912	GEORGIA	
913	KANSAS	
914	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
915	TEXAS	
916	CALIFORNIA	NONE
917	NEW YORK	540, 550, 970
918	OKLAHOMA	
919	NORTH CAROLINA	
941	FLORIDA	
954	FLORIDA	
970	COLORADO	